

Confidential Feasibility Study Report

Submitted by:

Greater Mission, LLC



Greater Mission



Presented to:

Rev. Emmanuel Ihemedu
Pastor

St. John Paul the Great Catholic Church
Torrington, Connecticut

INTRODUCTION

Greater Mission is pleased to present St. John Paul the Great Catholic Church with this feasibility study report. It has been a pleasure to work with Fr. Emmanuel, Fr. Carlos, Marie Cavosora, Anita Ressel, Toni Tavano and Teresa Guzman and the entire parish community on this project. The level of cooperation, hospitality and candor extended during the study process has been truly appreciated and has helped us to produce this report. We are most grateful to serve this wonderful Catholic community.

Case for Support - Capital Projects

Greater Mission, LLC conducted a feasibility study as part of the process for a capital campaign. The study was designed to determine if a successful campaign could be conducted to raise \$1.5 million to fund the projects outlined below.

St. John Paul the Great Parish

Case Statement

One Parish. Two Sacred Homes. One Shared Future.

St. John Paul the Great Parish was formed in 2017 through the unification of the Torrington Cluster of Catholic parishes. Today, we are one parish family worshipping in two historic and beloved churches: St. Peter Church and St. Francis of Assisi Church. St. Francis Church, built in 1877, and St. Peter Church, constructed in 1907, have sustained Catholic faith in Torrington for generations. For nearly 150 years at St. Francis and soon 120 years at St. Peter, these sacred spaces have witnessed baptisms, weddings, funerals, confessions, and countless Eucharistic celebrations.

In May of 2028, we will celebrate the 100th anniversary of the dedication of the current St. Peter Church building. This centennial is not simply a date on a calendar. It is an opportunity to honor the sacrifices of those who built before us and to prepare these sacred spaces for the generations who will follow us.

Why Now?

Like many parishes in New England, our facilities are aging and require careful attention.

At St. Peter Church, the interior restoration is long overdue. The carpeting and flooring need replacement, the pews and kneelers require refinishing, and the sanctuary and entire interior need repainting. The confessional space also needs expansion to better serve today's pastoral needs.

At St. Francis Church, the interior ceiling requires repainting, the restroom facilities need renovation, and the current door hardware must be updated for accessibility and safety. Additionally, we envision creating a 24-hour Adoration Chapel at St. Francis—a spiritual gift that would serve the entire parish and deepen our Eucharistic devotion.

This effort is not about one church over the other. It is about strengthening both of our sacred homes so that every parishioner—regardless of worship site—has a place that reflects the beauty, dignity, and reverence of our Catholic faith.

Looking to the Future

Beyond addressing immediate restoration needs, parish leadership is also exploring the possibility of establishing an endowment fund to help secure long-term financial stability for St. John Paul the Great Parish. Our goal is not only to repair what is worn, but to protect what we love. To determine whether a capital campaign with a preliminary goal of \$1.5 million is feasible, we have engaged Greater Mission, a respected Catholic development firm, to conduct a parish-wide feasibility study. Over the next several weeks, parishioners will be invited to provide confidential input. Your honest feedback, your hopes, concerns, and level of potential support—will guide our next steps.

Feasibility Study Process

Anthony T. Gwiazdowski, Executive Vice President & Partner of *Greater Mission*, conducted the study during February 2026 and March 2026. David Hampton, Research Director of *Greater Mission* assisted with the donor research of the parish database. There were several major components of the study process:

1. Case Development & Material Development

A preliminary Case Statement was reviewed, edited and approved by Fr. Emmanuel, Marie Cavosora and several members of the campaign committee. The case noted above was used for the study.

2. Giving Analysis & Constituent Research

A comprehensive review of current parishioner giving was conducted. *Greater Mission* correlated this data with research from one of its partners - *DonorSearch*. *DonorSearch* conducted a comprehensive electronic review of public financial data, philanthropic giving and other information to produce preliminary gift capacity ratings. *Greater Mission* then used this data, conducted follow-up research, and invited input from local leadership to identify parishioners that may have leadership capacity. A master table with parish giving data was created and a suggested starter gift range was developed for each parishioner based on the information available. Several names from the *DonorSearch* process were added to the interview list in addition to significant offertory contributors.

3. Individual Interviews

Anthony Gwiazdowski conducted 31 private, personal interviews with a total of 41 parishioners of St. John Paul the Great parish. The interviews provided an opportunity to present the case, receive input and answer questions. It also provided an opportunity to gauge how much those individuals may be willing to consider giving to a campaign, their willingness to serve as campaign volunteers and their specific support for the project. The interviewees were also afforded the opportunity to voice concerns,

share advice and become more fully engaged in the project. The parishioners interviewed have been members of the parish from as few as 1 year to being at the parish their entire lifetime.

4. Town Hall Meetings

The entire parish was invited to a Town Hall meeting held on March 16th in the St. Peter Hall. A significant rainstorm held down attendance, and 26 parishioners attended the town hall meeting, including a few of the interviewees. The meeting provided the opportunity for parishioners to learn more about the projects, share their opinions and concerns, and to receive their input regarding the specific projects proposed, the possible goal, their potential level of financial support, and their willingness to volunteer for the campaign. The following evening a Town Hall meeting was held for members of the Hispanic community. Fr. Carlos graciously served as interpreter. Over 40 parishioners attended this Town Hall meeting.

Fr. Emmanuel began each Town Hall meeting with a prayer and some brief remarks. Tony Gwiazdowski shared the case, goal and potential funding sources for the campaign. To complete the projects highlighted in the case, a goal of \$1,500,000 was presented for consideration. Then input forms were distributed and completed by 8 of the 26 families in attendance at the March 16th Town Hall and 23 of the 41 parishioners turned in surveys on the 17th. Those responses have been used as part of the data collection for this study. Some attendees at the town halls were also part of the individual interview process and were asked not to fill out the survey.

5. Online Survey

The Online Survey with parish case statement, gift plan and went live on the parish website on March 21st and closed on March 27th. Parishioners were asked to review this information before completing the Survey Monkey survey. The same survey form, case statement, gift plan and volunteer opportunities used in the interviews and town hall meetings were attached to the online survey. The survey and collateral documents were provided in English and Spanish. During the period, the survey was active 17 parishioners responded to the English survey, and none responded to the Spanish version. As in the other methods of data calculation, all parishioners did not answer all the questions.

The total number of parishioners who participated in the Study was 99, which is 7% of the total parish community (1447 registered households) and is slightly below the norm of 8% to 10% in most parish feasibility studies. Counsel is certain that the bad weather on March 16th cut attendance by at least half and if that had not occurred, we certainly would have had an 8% sample size.

FEASIBILITY STUDY FINDINGS

The following are the findings of the study process:

Parishioners were asked a series of questions about the parish to gauge their level of involvement, feelings about the parish and concerns. Please note that, to be concise, we will combine answers from each data collection method. Please note question #1 was in regard to the number of years the person was a member of the parish and what, if any, ministries they served. This was an icebreaker question

and is not included in the overall tabulation. *All cited answers for each question listed the tables are in rank order by the most frequently cited on down, however, all noted comments were provided multiple times. Counsel also wishes to note that not every respondent provided an answer to every question. The statistics are based on the actual number of responses provided for each question, not on the entire cohort of respondents.*

2. What do you appreciate most about your parish?

Parishioners gave a number of answers to the question, but the following are those cited most often:

Most Frequently Cited:
The community is warm and welcoming.
Our priests are wonderful. Great homilies, very caring.
Our liturgies.
The diversity of our parish.
The music at our liturgies.
The strong faith of our parish.

3. What are the greatest challenges facing the parish?

The following are the most frequently cited answers compiled from the Individual Interviews, Town Halls and Online Survey:

Most Frequently Cited:
The aging of our parish community.
The need to get more young families in the parish.
Finances, money, old buildings that are costly to maintain.
The cost of maintaining our facilities.
The cost of supporting our school.
The continued integration and cooperation between the Hispanic and Anglo communities. <i>(this comment was voiced by several members of both communities.)</i>
Lack of parking and adequate space for gatherings.

4. What is your initial reaction to the Case that has been presented to you?

Based on the interviews and a review of the responses to the Town Hall and Online Survey, the parish is supportive of the case. Sixty-five (65) of the ninety-nine (99) responses were in favor of the case as it has been presented. Another 12 respondents were supportive of the majority of the case but felt that the projects need to be prioritized if the parish cannot raise all the funds. The greatest concerns about the case were the necessity of the Adoration Chapel, the overall cost of some of the renovations that some people thoughts were too ornate, and that the exterior of St. Francis Church needs work.

Most Frequently Cited: From All Methods

Meeting these needs is long overdue.
The renovations sound great.
We are paying for neglect, but this must be done.
The Chapel is nice but is it really needed.
These projects need to be prioritized.
St. Francis Church needs a lot of outside work. Facia boards and the outside of the windows are in bad shape.
We should really consider downsizing into one church, maintaining two is too much.
I need more detail to truly say I agree with all of it.

5. Do you feel the case statement represents the most important needs of the parish? If not, what do you feel are priorities not included in the case?

The purpose of this question is to elicit items not included in the case that the respondents felt were important needs. The vast majority of respondents had no suggestions or other priorities however the following were the most often cited other needs/priorities:

Most Frequently Cited Answers: From All Methods

The facia and windows on St. Francis need serious repairs.
The parish office building has holes on the outside that need to be fixed.
The choir loft at St. Peter is very dangerous.
St. Peter Hall and building needs a lot work, and we need more meeting space.
Close one church.
We should be spending money on evangelizing lapsed Catholics

Counsel wishes to note that the concerns over the exterior of St. Francis church were mentioned by numerous respondents in all methods of data collection, including from many of those who were very supportive of the actual case. In counsels' opinion it is worthy of review by parish leadership.

6. Do you think the parish can raise \$1.5 million for the needs presented in the case statement?

Individual Interviews

Raise the Funds	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	18	51%
No	9	26%
Unsure	8	23%

Town Hall Meetings (combined)

Raise the Funds	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	18	56%
No	8	25%
Unsure	6	19%

Online Survey

Raise the Funds	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	5	31%
No	6	38%
Unsure	5	31%

In general, parishioners interviewed felt that the parish has been very generous in the past and there is some wealth in the parish, but many top donors are aging and may not be able to give as much. The overall percentage of positive responses is slightly below average. There is a general concern that many older parishioners may not be able to give larger gifts, and that the Hispanic community may also have difficulty participating at higher levels. The general economic climate with the rise in local taxes, rising gas prices and an overall uneasiness about the national economy was commented upon by several respondents.

7. Would you support this campaign financially?

Individual Interviews

Support Financially	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	29	94%
No	0	0%
Unsure	2	6%

Town Hall Meeting (combined)

Support Financially	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	32	91%
No	0	0%
Unsure	3	8%

Online Survey

Support Financially	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	12	80%
No	0	0%
Unsure	3	20%

Clearly, the overwhelming majority of parishioners who responded indicated that they would provide financial support for the campaign. No respondent indicated they would not support a campaign. This very positive response bodes well for participation for the campaign.



8. Upon reviewing the possible gift chart, at what level could you see yourself contributing?

GOAL - \$1,500,000						
ADVANCE LEAD TIER						
Level	Amount Per Year	Amount Per Month	#	Total	# Identified	Cumulative Total
\$100,000	\$20,000	\$1,667	2	\$200,000	2	\$200,000
\$50,000	\$10,000	\$833	4	\$200,000	2	\$100,000
\$25,000	\$5,000	\$417	5	\$125,000	0	0
\$15,000	\$3,000	\$250	10	\$150,000	2	\$30,000
MID LEAD TIER						
Level	Amount Per Year	Amount Per Month	#	Total		
\$10,000	\$2,000	\$167	20	\$200,000	8	\$80,000
\$5,000	\$1,000	\$83	25	\$125,000	12	\$60,000
\$3,000	\$600	\$50	35	\$105,000	5	\$15,000
PARTICIPATION TIER						
Level	Amount Per Year	Amount Per Month	#	Total		
\$1,800	\$360	\$30	75	\$135,000	2	\$3,600
\$1,500	\$300	\$25	80	\$120,000	19	\$28,500
\$1,000	\$200	\$17	100	\$100,000	4	\$4,000
below \$1000	\$100	\$8	100	\$50,000	0	0
TOTAL			450	\$1,510,000	56	\$521,100

The cumulative totals (\$521,100) noted in the table above are responses from all levels of contact. This total represents 35% of the possible \$1.5 million goal. A total of 99 individual parishioners participated in the study and 57% (56) indicated a gift level. Most who did not provide a gift level did indicate they intended to support the campaign financially. The 56 household responses represent 4% of the total parish families and 9% of the 2025 regular contributors (626, defined as those giving \$100+ 43% of registered households) to the parish. (NB. The total number of offertory donors in 2025 was 783 or 54% of the registered households. The national average for parish offertory participation is 30% to 33%.) The average gift noted in the responses is \$9,305. This figure is skewed by the identified gifts at the \$50,000 and \$100,000 level and would not represent the actual average gift at the end of the campaign. Counsel is pleased that we were able to identify people who indicated that they would consider making a lead gift. Additionally, our research indicates that there are possibly others that can make lead tier gifts. There were two Hispanic households that indicated that they would consider a lead tier gift. This response suggests that parishioner support for the campaign would be strong, however, to achieve a \$1.5 million goal, more gifts at the \$10,000 to \$25,000+ levels will be needed.

9. Who would you recommend to be among the lay leaders to assist Fr. Emmanuel in leading the campaign?

Parishioners in each of the data collection segments provided insight into potential parish leaders. There were dozens of names cited with many of them cited multiple times as potential campaign leaders. The majority of respondents made an effort to respond to this question which is not common and is an indicator that parishioners know and respect the leaders of the parish. This list has been provided to Fr. Emmanuel in a separate memorandum.

10. Would you volunteer to be involved in this effort as, (Leader), (Advocate), (Other)

Individual Interviews

Volunteer	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	18	82%
No	1	4%
Unsure	3	14%

Town Hall

Volunteer	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	11	31%
No	10	28%
Unsure	15	41%

Online Survey

Volunteer	# of Responses	% of Responses
Yes	2	20%
No	5	50%
Unsure	3	30%

The total number of positive responses (31) is sufficient to conduct a successful campaign and often a portion of the “unsure” agree to serve once they have a better idea of what is being asked of them. A good number of parishioners indicated that they would be involved as a prayer champion, host a campaign gathering in their home, serve as a campaign advocate, and “do anything that Fr. Emmanuel asks me to do”. The parish has very talented and committed parishioners who are willing to make this campaign successful and as is always the case there are other parishioners who did not participate in the study that will offer volunteer support.

11. Who do you think might be able to support the campaign at a significant level?

Answers were provided through all methods of data collection, and the results have been provided to Fr. Emmanuel under separate cover. This is often the most difficult question for people to answer and the one that people most often indicate that they would rather not say. A total of (11) individuals were mentioned and of these, only seven (7) were mentioned multiple times.



12. In addition to the priorities outlined in the case are there any other priorities you believe the parish needs to embrace in service to the community?

Questions 12 and 13 were added by parish leadership to address the current and future ministerial needs of the parish. In some cases respondents, especially in the personal interviews were confused as to why the questions were being asked in conjunction with the campaign feasibility study. The following are the most frequently cited answers in all methods of data collection.

Most Frequently Cited Answers

The parish already does a lot in the community.
I don't know or I don't know enough about what we already do.
Re-open Kevins' Place.
Evangelization Outreach to lapsed Catholics and others.
Theology on tap for the whole community.
Working with the disabled or handicapped.
Develop a welcome to Torrington and to the parish committee and materials.
An arts program for youth/ youth outreach and programs.

13. Are there any additional volunteer opportunities at the parish that you would be of interest to you?

The most frequently cited answers are as follows:

Most Frequently Cited Answers

By far the most cited answer was, No.
Too old to participate in more.
Would work at Kevins Place if it were opened.
Serve on Hospitality Team.
Would serve on a Hispanic leadership team at parish.
Would help with Adult Faith Formation or Bible Study.
Would help with Religious Education.

14. Do you have any further advice that you would like to provide Fr. Emmanuel and the parish leadership?

Parishioners offered a great deal of advice in response to this question through all methods of data collection. Counsel received 48 respondents noted comments. -Some were mentioned multiple times. The table below illustrates the most cited responses.

The following are the most frequently cited comments:

Fr. Emmanuel is doing a great job, keep up the good work. (Great leader, often cited)
Be transparent in all that we are doing.
Update the parish roster before we start.
Communication is key, keep people informed.
Where did the money from the sale of the other churches go?
Make sure people know how the money is spent and make sure that parish leaders take ownership of continuing these efforts if the need be.
Establishing an endowment is a good idea.
Stay positive and pray for success.
There is still some hurt left over from the mergers.
The projects must be prioritized in the event we do not reach our goal. What gets done first?

The Adoration Chapel is a wonderful idea, but do we need it? <i>(Tied with the next answer)</i>
I am very excited by the idea of a 24hr. Adoration Chapel.
Can we afford to keep two churches open in the future?
Bi-lingual Masses are a challenge for both Spanish and English speakers.
Raised funds through food sales, bingo or raffles.
We should have an envelope in church for visitors to use for the campaign that does not get taxed by the archdiocese.
The lack of support for our school and school building by the archdiocese is a problem.

Conclusions to the Findings

The St. John Paul the Great Catholic Church is a wonderful Catholic community. Having come to being through a series of mergers counsel wishes to note that the merger process was noted on several occasions as a concern, however these comments were made with the least amount of anger or negativity I have heard in my many years of doing this work. Mergers are always difficult and painful, but I was pleasantly surprised by the way parishioners brought this up. Parishioners often cited that the vast majority of clergy assigned to this parish since the merger have done an excellent job bringing people together and while there are still tough feelings the sense given was that people were coming together in an positive way. Fr. Emmanuel and Fr. Carlos were oft mentioned as loving and dedicated priests who listen to people, serve them well and foster a strong sense of unity.

St. John Paul the Great parish is in a transition facing many parishes in this country. Parishioners recognize the changing demographics in the parish community, the decline in young people and families, and are concerned that aging parishioners are among the best donors to the parish and will not easily be replaced. In addition, the growing Hispanic community present new challenges but parishioners from both communities view these challenges as positive and there is a strong desire among both communities to continue to come together, foster unity and inclusiveness in everything the parish does. This desire for unity is not always the case at parishes living through this reality and it bodes well for St. John Paul the Great parish that there is a very strong desire in both communities to work together for the future of the parish.

There is strong understanding that the parish needs to make necessary and essential improvements to both churches. In general, parishioners clearly see the need to update and improve the interior of both facilities. Many people stated or wrote that the improvements are long overdue. However, there are two issues for consideration. First, there is a very high level of support within the Hispanic community for the Adoration Chapel, and yet, there are other parishioners who question whether it is essential to the future of the parish. Counsel recommends that in the prioritization of the projects, the actual cost of the Chapel and where it ranks among the overall project priorities be clearly communicated to the entire parish. Second, many parishioners during the interviews or on their survey forms noted that there is still exterior work needed on parts of St. Francis church and the parish office building that are obvious and seem serious. These include the fascia board around the roof of the

church, which does show wear, the exterior window casings and some holes in the exterior of the parish office building. Counsel suggests that parish leadership review these concerns and address them in some fashion either by including them as part of the campaign case or having them addressed in some other way. The concern is that the interior repairs to St. Francis church could be damaged if the exterior work mentioned creates water infiltration. Overall prioritization of each project and the estimated cost will be important inclusions into the campaign case statement.

Counsel would be remiss if we did not note that among many we spoke with in interviews and at the Town Hall meetings that the current economic situation both in Torrington (local taxes) and in the nation are both concerning. Further concern was noted about the current international situation and how it may continue to effect the economy. Counsel recognizes and does not diminish these concerns in any way. Unfortunately, these situations are beyond our control. Our experience indicates that the biggest economic factor negatively affecting campaigns is unemployment, with inflation the second most important negative factor. What the outlook for these economic factors will be over the next several months is quite uncertain, but with the general understanding among parishioners that the work at the parish needs to be done; a delay in moving forward now may only put the parish into a situation of the economy getting worse forcing a long-term delay in getting this work completed. Presently, our other parish campaigns continue to successfully move forward under our current economic climate.

The participation in the study process was more than adequate. While it took a bit longer than anticipated counsel was able to speak to a representative interview group. Attendance at the Hispanic Town Hall was excellent and the discussion after the presentation was lively and very positive. There is great enthusiasm in this community for the renovations and for the Adoration Chapel. Counsel suggests that the formation of a leadership team for the Hispanic community be recruited, and discussions begin as to how best engage this important and growing parish constituency. Attendance at the March 16th general town hall meeting was hurt by the bad weather that day and evening. The percentage of people who filled out survey forms that evening was low, but it should be noted that some of the attendees were interviewees who were asked not to fill out the survey and at least four of the online surveys filled noted that they had attended the town hall and wanted time to think about their responses.

The responses to the online surveys were lower than hoped but the quality of the responses were very good and helpful. There were no responses to the Spanish version of the online survey, but this is not unusual. Most parishes that provided both English and Spanish versions have much lower response rate from the Spanish survey and receiving none is not at all unusual. However, in the end we were roughly 10 responses short of the typical 8% sample size. In counsel's experience this is not enough to create great concern on our part that the findings are a valid representation of the parish opinion.

The findings indicate there will be very little trouble recruiting volunteers to host gatherings, to call fellow parishioners to ask them to attend gatherings, provide help at larger gatherings at the parish, and most importantly to pray for the success of the campaign.

The support for Fr. Emmanuel (and Fr. Carlos) among parishioners, their confidence in his leadership, and his optimistic attitude are all factors that bode well for success. St. John Paul the Great parishioners are in general very grateful for his pastoral and administrative leadership, and it is counsels' belief that the vast majority of parishioners will respond positively to his call for support.

Based on these conclusions to the findings and our experience, *Greater Mission* makes the following recommendations:

Recommendations

1. The findings of the study indicate that there is solid support for the case and a very clear understanding that the interior restoration work is long overdue. Identifying several potential leadership gifts, along with the identification of a number of other potential lead donors is also a very positive sign. With this in mind Greater Mission recommends that a campaign, with a goal of \$1.5 million should be launched.
2. Greater Mission recommends that parish leadership secure estimated costs for each case element to be included as part of the campaign case, establish priorities for which projects would be done first and so on and undertake a review of the cited exterior concerns regarding St. Francis church for possible inclusion in the campaign case. In the event these issues do not need to be addressed now, parish leadership should clearly communicate the reasons why and when these items might be addressed.
3. We recommend developing a campaign plan to execute the Leadership Gift, Gathering and Participation phases to be completed over a 6-month period and beginning as soon as possible. Included in this plan will be the establishment of a Legacy giving component to address potential giving through bequests in support of the campaign.
4. The campaign should begin with the early solicitation of the top 10 potential lead donors who could immediately affect the potential of reaching \$1.5 million.
5. To address maximizing the support of the Hispanic community, parish leadership should recruit a Hispanic Campaign Leadership team to assist counsel and the overall Campaign Cabinet create a culturally sensitive campaign strategy that will assist in garnering the maximum level of support for the campaign and continue to strengthen the bonds of cooperation and collaboration within the parish.
6. Launch a communication effort to inform current parishioners of the results of the feasibility study, letting them know that their input has been taken into consideration.
7. Develop a campaign communication strategy to inform, inspire and educate parishioners during the entire campaign.

8. Building an aggressive but achievable gift table for the campaign that will help stimulate broad-based sacrificial generosity. This gift chart must challenge the entire community to support the campaign at levels that will be sufficient to augment the identified lead gift potential by strengthening Mid-Tier giving and creating a strong base of Participation-Tier giving focusing on a high level of participation across all gift ranges.
9. Fr. Emmanuel (with the help of Fr. Carlos) will need to take a pivotal role in meeting individually with at least 30-35 major donors.
10. Campaign Committee involvement will be critical to work closely with Fr. Emmanuel in engaging many parishioners in the campaign.

Final Thoughts

Every campaign is a challenge, and this one will be no different. The biggest obstacles that we may have to overcome may be beyond our control due to the current world situation. Every journey, even a difficult one, begins with a first step and dealing with the facts as they presently exist. To be successful we will need to work towards and achieve a high level of participation. St. John Paul the Great parish has many devoted parishioners who are very generous in spirit and treasure, the parish has a well-liked and respected pastor who has extremely good communication and administrative skills and whom I believe will do well fund-raising. His associate pastor, Fr. Carlos is also highly respected in the parish, especially within the Hispanic community and his involvement will strengthen the potential for success. The parish also has strong lay leadership and lay involvement.

Our goal in conducting a feasibility study is to give you the clearest understanding of what the parish feels and thinks about the case and goal. In this case the findings are positive and strong. With a full commitment from the parishioners and parish leadership this campaign can succeed. Greater Mission stands ready to assist St. John Paul the Great parish in doing so and moving forward.

It has been a great privilege to serve this wonderful parish and its people in conducting this study. I am truly grateful to Fr. Emmanuel and Fr. Carlos, Marie Cavosora, Anita Ressel, Toni Tavarro, Teresa Guzman and the many people I encountered during the study for their kindness, support and active involvement in this process. I felt very welcomed by this parish community. I consider it a privilege to be part of this exciting venture that will support St. John Paul the Great parish for decades to come.



Greater Mission

55 Oxford Rd. | Annville, PA 17003 | (800) 741.9210 | www.greatermission.com